

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,338	10/09/2001	Wayne Milton Schott	US 010480	6212
24737	7590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			MCCLOUD, RENATA D	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/973,338	SCHOTT, WAYNE MILTON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Renata McCloud	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	:				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Se	eptember 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<u>- </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	,	!				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.	: .				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Festa (US 4,437,539).

Claim 1: An acoustical enclosure comprising: a speaker box (Fig. 1: 12) comprising walls (Fig. 1-2: 14,16,18, 20, 26, 28) that enclose an acoustic chamber; a partitioning wall (Fig. 2:30) coupled to interior surfaces of said walls of said speaker box, said partitioning wall dividing said acoustic chamber into a first chamber (Fig. 2:34) and into a second chamber (Fig. 2: chamber adjacent to 20); wherein at least one wall (Fig. 2:28) of said walls that enclose said acoustic chamber comprises portions that form an external vent (Fig. 2:40) to said second chamber (chamber adjacent to 20); a first speaker (Fig. 2:35 is the speaker within opening 36) mounted within said partitioning wall (30), wherein a front portion of said first speaker (35) has access to said first chamber (34) and a back portion of said first speaker (35) has access to said second chamber (chamber adjacent to 20); and a second speaker mounted (Fig. 2:50) within one of said walls (26) that enclose said acoustic chamber, wherein a front portion of

Art Unit: 2837

said second speaker (50) has access to air outside said speaker box and a back portion of said second speaker (50) has access to said first chamber (34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tanaka et al (US 5,850,460).

Claim 1: An acoustical enclosure comprising: a speaker box (Fig. 15: 103) comprising walls that enclose an acoustic chamber (Fig. 15:106,105); a partitioning wall (Fig. 15:104) coupled to interior surfaces of said walls of said speaker box, said partitioning wall (104) dividing said acoustic chamber into a first chamber (106) and into a second chamber (105); a first speaker (101) mounted within said partitioning wall (104), wherein a front portion of said first speaker (front of 101) has access to said first chamber (106) and a back portion of said first speaker (back of 101) has access to said second chamber (105), and a second speaker (102) mounted within one of said walls (Fig. 15: 103a) that enclose said acoustic chamber, wherein a front portion of said second speaker (front of 102) has access to air outside said speaker box (103) and a

Art Unit: 2837

back portion of said second speaker (back of 102) has access to said first chamber (106).

Tanaka et al do not explicitly disclose at least one wall that enclose the acoustic chamber comprises portions that form an external vent to said second chamber. Tanaka et al teaches that it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form an external vent (Col. 7:60-8:2, a port in a wall that has no driver) to said second chamber (105), the vent being in at least one wall of the walls (Fig. 15: back wall adjacent to 105) that enclose said acoustic chamber. The advantage of this would be reduced vibrations in the enclosure.

Claims 9 and 11: An acoustical enclosure comprising: a speaker box (Fig. 15: 103) comprising walls that enclose an acoustic chamber (Fig. 15:106,105); a partitioning wall (Fig. 15:104) coupled to interior surfaces of said walls of said speaker box, said partitioning wall (104) dividing said acoustic chamber into a first chamber (106) and into a second chamber (105); wherein at least one wall of said walls (Fig. 15: back wall adjacent to 105) that enclose said acoustic chamber comprises portions that form an external vent (Col. 7:60-8:2, a port in a wall that has no driver) to said second chamber (105); a first speaker (101) mounted within said partitioning wall (104), wherein a front portion of said first speaker (front of 101) has access to said first chamber(106) and a back portion of said first speaker (back of 101) has access to said second chamber (105), and a second speaker (102) mounted within one of said walls (Fig. 15: 103a) that enclose said acoustic chamber, wherein a front portion of said second speaker

Art Unit: 2837

(front of 102) has access to air outside said speaker box (103) and a back portion of said second speaker (back of 102) has access to said first chamber (106); wherein second speaker (102) enhances acoustical performance of said acoustic chamber of said acoustical enclosure by extending a range of low frequency response of said acoustical enclosure to approximately thirty Hertz (Col. 2:12-20; Col. 2:42-45; Fig. 3 shows a frequency range starting at 20 Hz).

Tanaka et al do not explicitly disclose at least one wall that enclose the acoustic chamber comprises portions that form an external vent to said second chamber. Tanaka et al teaches that it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form an external vent (Col. 7:60-8:2, a port in a wall that has no driver) to said second chamber (105), the vent being in at least one wall of the walls (Fig. 15: back wall adjacent to 105) that enclose said acoustic chamber. The advantage of this would be reduced vibrations in the enclosure.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, and 20: partitioning wall (104) comprises portions that form an uncovered internal vent (Col. 7:60-8:2, a port in a divider) between said first chamber (106) and said second chamber (105).

Claims 3, 12, and 19: said first speaker (101) and said second speaker (102) are connected in phase electrically (Col. 1:65-2:12; Col. 6:35-42).

Claims 5, 14, and 17: a volume of said first chamber (106) is effectively increased due to the presence of said second speaker (102) within one of said walls (103a) that enclose said acoustic chamber (Col. 2:12-20).

Art Unit: 2837

Claim 7: a low frequency response range that extends to approximately thirty Hertz (Col. 2:42-45; Fig. 3 shows a frequency range starting at 20 Hz).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 03 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Festa does not teach a partition dividing the speaker into first and second chambers, Festa teach an "L" shaped wall consisting of (26) and (28), (see Col. 2: 54-65). The lower portion of the "L" shaped wall is (28) and it contains port (40). Festa's partition may be formed of two portions, but regardless, (26) and (28) form a wall that divides the interior of the speaker. Applicant's claim language is broad and does not further limit the claim as to how the partitioning wall is made or shaped.

In response to applicant's argument that Tanaka does not teach a vent between first and second chambers, Tanaka teaches that it would be obvious to form a port in a divider (104) in the speaker (see Col. 7:60-8:2).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., active speakers) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renata McCloud whose telephone number is (571) 272-2069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. from 8 am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 4. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 8

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Renata McCloud

Examiner

Art Unit 2837

RDM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800